Preparing the maps



Keep the original form in stead. So not to distort area of regions.

Trying different grid sizes

1

2 1

2

1 1

2

4 4

2 2 1

4 1

2 1 1 2

1

2 2 2

3 2

1

1 3 We need a consistent rule for when to count an area as part of a grid square. \rightarrow It happens often that only a tiny part of the area is in the square t







This is good because a square is linked to max 3 areas

And there's squares with value 1 all across the map, not just at the edges





7 1-1/24

so the difference was clearer, but not obvious · Moved two dots so none of the related locations shared

borders with the target location

No pattern

Changes made: Moved two dots because it didn't intersect with locations







Odd (5)











Shifting the patterns

For shifting the number of locations involved in the relationships matters! So that we don't make one visualization way "easier" than another Could tweak to make numbers more comparable.



Rotate by 45 degrees to the left























Location selection

Result

Small Scale

Training data



Training data



Antonio Statistica Managementa Managementa Managementa Managementa Angularia
Managementa Managementa Managementa Angularia
Anguna Managementa Managementa Managementa Angularia
Sanagementa Managementa Manage

G.Hulstein